

NOTICE OF MEETING

Faculty Council

Tuesday, May 19, 1964

Ballantine Hall #08

3:30 p.m.

AGENDA

1. The matter of the confidential nature of the minutes of the Faculty Council meetings.
2. Report of the Committee for Election of New Members.
3. Report of the Committee to Nominate a Faculty Member to the Athletics Committee.
4. Presentation of Capital Requests plans.

AGENDA COMMITTEE

Byrum E. Carter
Shelby D. Gerking
Charles J. Vitaliano, Chairman



NOTICE OF MEETING

Faculty Council

Tuesday, May 19, 1964

Ballantine Hall 408

3:30 p.m.

AGENDA

1. The matter of the confidential nature of the minutes of the Faculty Council meetings.
2. Report of the Committee for Election of New Members.
3. Report of the Committee to Nominate a Faculty Member to the Athletics Committee.
4. Presentation of Capital Requests Plans.

AGENDA COMMITTEE

Byron E. Carter
Shelby D. Gerking
Charles J. Vissalano, Chairman

RECEIVED

MAY 14 1964

INDIANA UNIVERSITY
VICE PRESIDENT AND TREASURER

ARCHIVES
PRESIDENT'S OFFICE

FEB 24 1965

FOR FILE

RECEIVED

CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes of the Faculty Council

May 19, 1964

These Minutes are distributed to the Faculty subject to modifications and approval at the next meeting of the Faculty Council.

Members Absent, No Alternate: President Elvis J. Stahr
Dean Wilfred C. Bain
Dean Maynard K. Hine
Dean Leon Wallace
Dean John Nurnberger

Alternates Present: Professor Paul Munger for Dean Shane
Professor J. H. Porter for Dean Pinnell
Professor D. C. Boshkoff for Professor Fuchs
Professor Bruce McQuizz for Professor Hicks
Professor Harry Pratter for Professor Clifford
Professor Peter Hanford for Professor Brown

Visitors Present: Mr. Charles H. Harrell, Registrar
Mr. Joseph Franklin, Vice-President and Treasurer
Mr. Donald Clark, Ass't Vice-President and Business
Manager
Professor Robert W. Richey

AGENDA

1. Report of the Committee for Election of New Members.
2. Report of the Committee to Nominate a Faculty Member to the Athletics Committee.
3. Presentation of Capital Requests plans.
4. Presentation of alternate proposals for calendars for the academic year 1965-66 (see attached).

The Council was convened at 3:38 by Dean Merritt, presiding in place of President Stahr. Dean Merritt suggested, as a courtesy to the visitors present, that the order of business outlined in the agenda be revised. This being agreeable, Mr. Franklin, Vice-President and Treasurer, and Mr. Donald Clark, Assistant Vice-President and Business Manager, were asked to present the plans for priority on new construction and rehabilitation for the 1963-65 biennium as well as the projected plans for 1965-67 construction on the Bloomington Campus. Mr. Franklin presented and elaborated somewhat on the plans as detailed on the attached sheets. He pointed out later that these plans were tentative and subject to Board of Trustees approval. He explained what funds are available at present, their distribution (see first page of the data), their relationship to the last capital request for a 10 year building program, and the effect of the injunction against the sales tax on our present allotment of building and rehabilitation funds. After the presentation a number of questions were asked, e.g., what will be the length of time required to construct the new library. Answer--30 months of construction at least. What will be the relationship between housing and academic space on the campus? Answer--an attempt is being made to provide housing on the basis of revised enrollment estimates of classrooms. As far as construction is concerned it also is geared to the 10 year enrollment estimate. The situation will admittedly be a tight one for part of the 10 year program, at least, but it has to be if we are to have the new library, a course which we set two years ago. What are the plans for the old library building? Answer--none yet but there are many needs. The stack additions, however, may be kept for the library for book storage reserve. Some space may be adaptable for classrooms. Is "air temperature regulation" contingent on other factors? Answer--no, as planning develops it will be fitted in where possible. Ballantine Hall is, however, high on the priority list. When is it anticipated that the Lindley Hall rehabilitation will be completed? Answer--next fall. Is the priority list a solid one? Answer--it is solid at present, subject to comments here and approval by the Trustees, but some continuing flexibility is desirable, e.g., if matching funds for any one item should suddenly become available, that particular item could move ahead of others. There being no further questions, Professor Robinson moved that

THE FACULTY COUNCIL ACCEPT THE REPORT ON THE PRIORITY ESTIMATE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION.

Seconded by Professor Richey. Approved unanimously.

2. Professor Auer presented the report of the Elections Committee (see attached list). Dean Merritt then proceeded to appoint

(Professor Charles Hagen, Jr., Chairman
Professor Edward Buehrig
Professor Edwin Cady

to a committee which is instructed to bring in slates of nominees for the posts of Secretary, Agenda Committeemen, and Parliamentarian for the coming year as follows:

Agenda Committee	4 nominees	2 positions
Faculty Council Parliamentarian	2 nominees	1 position
Faculty Council Secretary	2 nominees	1 position

The slates are to be presented for balloting at the June 2nd meeting.

3. Professor Sid Robinson presented the slate for the post of Faculty member to the Athletics Committee. In the balloting which followed, Professor J. J. Auer was elected to the post.

4. Mr. Harrell, Registrar, then presented alternate proposals for calendars for the academic year 1965-66. (See attached proposed University Calendars - Bloomington Campus - 1965-66). A glance at both schedules will reveal that the proposed schedule A resembles our present calendar whereas proposed schedule B begins one week earlier. Proposal A provides for 15 teaching days following the Christmas vacation; proposal B, 8 teaching days. Proposal A requires a number of Saturday meetings during the summer; proposal B, one Saturday only. A particular drawback to calendar proposal B, however, is the heavy schedule of events for the month of May.

Neither calendar presented a fixed date for the spring recess, a matter in which Mr. Harrell was seeking the advice of the Council members. In setting the date for the spring recess, Mr. Harrell asked the Council members to consider the possibility of having the calendar agree as nearly as possible with that of Purdue University in order to cut down on time lost in courses given by closed-circuit television. Purdue University, he indicated, decided four or five years ago on a more or less fixed calendar, in which the spring recess comes at approximately the half-way mark of the semester. If the spring recesses for both universities do not coincide, approximately two weeks of time is lost in the televised course work. Mr. Harrell was asked if any attempt to cooperate with Purdue University's calendar committee had been made in the past. Yes, was the answer, but Purdue University had decided some years ago on a fixed calendar and has followed it ever since. Dean Merritt thought, however, that Purdue University would probably be willing to meet us half way in the matter. In fact, the probability of calendar coordination has already been discussed by the Administration Committee and the Deans Council and then by Dean Merritt and Dean Chenea of Purdue, partly in connection with the television link. An example of the fact that cooperation is possible is the case of the Ft. Wayne Regional Campus where Indiana University and Purdue University are sharing the same physical plant. Because of this sharing they have been forced to consolidate calendars, Dean Higgins reported.

Professor Carter than proposed that

THE FACULTY COUNCIL ADOPT CALENDAR PROPOSAL A.

Seconded by Professor Day.

The virtues and some of the shortcomings of calendar proposal A were then pointed out. The 15 days of class time after the Christmas recess, especially, would be beneficial. On the other hand, Dean Porter called attention to the fact that as examinations end on Tuesday, June 7, pressure might be exerted to get examinations rescheduled in order to permit students to get away from school as soon as possible. Again, the number of Saturday meetings in summer session was not encouraging. In answer to another question, the difficulty of having the calendar agree with the public schools was pointed out, e.g., the starting date of the public schools is dependent upon their football schedule, and at the other end, they try to have everything completed by Memorial Day.

Some question arose concerning the possible absence of some faculty members due to conflicts with important professional society meetings during the early weeks of September. The fact that counseling will be in the spring from now on (except for transferees and new graduate students who will continue to be counselled just prior to beginning of classes) will help alleviate this problem. Dean Merritt made the point that the problems with having a final exam week are increasing. What with the number of requests for departmental exams, and the increasing number of day and night classes, it was becoming more and more difficult to set up a schedule. When Professor Richey deplored the number of Saturdays utilized for meetings in the summer session, Dean Porter asked if it would not be possible to eliminate Saturday meetings by establishing 60-minute class periods during the week. "No," was the answer because instruction in summer session is done mostly in the morning, and the afternoons are given over to only a few specialized courses.

There being a lull in the discussion, the question on Professor Carter's motion was called for with the following result:

<u>Aye</u>	<u>Nay</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Dean Ashton	Professor Milisen	Dean Braden
Dean Gucker	Dean Daniels	Professor Lukemeyer
Professor Cady	Professor Gerking	Professor Pratter
Professor Foust	Professor Porter	
Professor Boshkoff	Professor Greenleaf	
Professor McQuigg	Professor Hanford	
Professor Robinson	Professor Hicks	
Professor Russell	Dean Higgins	
Professor Auer	Professor Munger	
Professor Buehrig		
Professor Carter		
Professor Day		
Professor Gaither		
Professor Remak		

Motion carried.

It was now necessary to set a date for the spring recess. Professor Gaither thought we should have it as nearly as possible in the middle of the semester. This, said Dean Merritt, would put it approximately at the first week in April. Whereupon Dean Ashton moved that

WE ADOPT FOR THIS CALENDAR THE SPRING RECESS FROM THE PERIOD OF
SATURDAY NOON, MARCH 26, TO MONDAY MORNING, APRIL 4, 7:30.

Seconded by Professor Carter. Carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:01 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles J. Vitaliano, Secretary