

AGENDA

Faculty Council

October 17, 1967

1. Approval of the Minutes of October 3, 1967.
2. Memorial Resolution for Oliver W. Brown.
3. Memorial Resolution for Harry deVeltheym Velten.
4. Board of Trustees Resolutions.
5. A Resolution from the Student Senate Regarding Women's Regulation.
6. Request from the School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation to use + and - grades.
7. Report of the Agenda Committee on mailing list for Faculty Council.
8. Report of the Section Committee on Physical Plant and Traffic (see Faculty Council Document No. 47, 1966-67).
9. Report of the Section Committee on Teaching (Faculty Council Document No. 6, 1967-68).
10. Secretary's Business.



ms

CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes of the Faculty Council

October 17, 1967

Members absent, no alternate: Vice President L.L. Merritt, Jr.  
Dean Emily Holmquist  
Professor Richard N. Farmer

Alternates Present: Dean William B. Christ for Dean Wilfred  
C. Bain  
Dean W.T.K. Nugent for Dean Byrum Carter  
Dean Joel Hunt for Dean Harrison Shull  
Professor James M. Watson for Professor  
Charles Vitaliano

Visitors Present: Professor Virginia Rogers  
Mr. J.R. Jordan

AGENDA

Faculty Council

October 17, 1967

- 1.
1. Approval of the Minutes of October 3, 1967.
2. Memorial Resolution for Oliver W. Brown.
3. Memorial Resolution for Harry deVeltheym Velten,
4. Board of Trustees Resolutions.
5. A Resolution from the Student Senate Regarding Women's Regulation.
6. Request from the School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation to use + and - grades.
7. Report of the Agenda Committee on Mailing List for Faculty Council.
8. Report of the Section Committee on Physical Plant and Traffic (see Faculty Council Document No. 47, 1966-67).
9. Report of the Section Committee on Teaching (Faculty Council Document No. 6, 1966-67).
10. Secretary's Business



President Stahr called the October 17, 1967 meeting to order at 3:34 p.m.

The minutes of the October 3, 1967 meeting were approved with minor corrections,

#### MEMORIAL RESOLUTION FOR OLIVER W. BROWN

A memorial resolution for Oliver W. Brown was read by Dean Emeritus Fernandus Payne. The Council stood for a moment of silence in remembrance.

#### MEMORIAL RESOLUTION FOR HARRY DEVELTHYME VELTEN

A memorial resolution for Harry deVelthyme Velten was read by Professor Henry H.H. Remak. The Council honored the the memory of Professor Velten by standing for a moment of silence.

#### BOARD OF TRUSTEES RESOLUTIONS

In introducing the resolutions, President Stahr noted that they were based largely on a discussion paper entitled "The Student in University Governance," prepared by Dean Braden. The paper and the resolutions were extensively discussed by the Board during a summer retreat and were passed in a formal meeting on July 3, the final day of the retreat. The first resolution is substantively quite similar to the "non-registration" policy approved in principle by the Faculty Council on May 16 last. One item which may differ in more than wording and which has created some initial problems of administration is that dealing with the provision of certain services for student organizations for whose fiscal integrity the University assumes no responsibility. These problems have been under study, however, and their resolution is being worked out steadily, according to the Division of Undergraduate Development.

#### I. Resolution Concerning Non-registration of Student Groups and the Use of University Facilities

##### RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Indiana University considers freedom of inquiry, assembly, and discussion essential to a student's educational development and believes that this broad principle is a cornerstone of education in a democracy, and thus the University



recognizes the right of all students to engage in discussion, to exchange thought and opinion, and to assemble, speak, write or print on all subjects in accordance with the guarantees of our state and national constitutions.

AND, WHEREAS, on May 16, 1967, the Faculty Council of Indiana University approved in principle a non-registration policy for the extra-curricular use of University facilities by students;

AND, WHEREAS, The Trustees of Indiana University grant neither approval nor disapproval of any student group but recognize their duty and responsibility to assure that University facilities are properly protected and maintained and used only for lawful purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Trustees of Indiana University that the resolution and recommendation of the Faculty Council concerning non-registration of student groups and its further recommendation concerning the use of University facilities contained in Faculty Council Document #38 are approved in principle for the period of the 1967-1968 academic year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that extra-curricular use of University rooms by students shall be allocated on a basis of first-come, first-served, subject to the following rules and regulations, compliance with which applicants shall certify in writing at the time of applying for the use of rooms:

1. Participation in the meeting must be without regard to race, religion, or national origin except that religious groups and geographical or national groups may limit participation accordingly.
2. The majority of participants must be currently enrolled students, faculty and/or staff of Indiana University and their spouses.
3. The applicant or applicants must assume personal responsibility for the use and proper care of such room during the meeting.
4. The applicant must certify the number of those to be in attendance at such meeting to the best of his ability.



5. No room may be used for an unlawful purpose or in violation of any University rules or regulations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that rooms open to general use or open to study use shall be so allocated in accordance with this resolution by the appropriate body or official having authority to allocate such space.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT,

1. If a student or group of students feels that facilities have been or will be allocated unfairly, appeal may be made to the Student Activities Committee either for redress of grievance or for clarification of allocation policy on future occasions.
2. A student or group of students who is denied use of campus facilities by any allocating body or officer may appeal the action to the Student Activities Committee.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that only organizations for the fiscal integrity of which the University has assumed legal responsibility shall continue to use the Student Organizations Accounts Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions in conflict herewith are suspended for the period of the 1967-1968 academic year.

## II. Statement on the Governance of Student Conduct and Discipline

### STATEMENT

The Trustees of Indiana University recognize both faculty and student concerns in the governance of student conduct and discipline.

They therefore request that proposals for changes in University policies in this area, after initiation in either the Faculty Council or the Student Senate, be concurred in by the other body before being recommended to the President of the University for the consideration of the Board.



The Board requests any individual or group from among the faculty or students who wishes to propose a change to do so by proper presentation to the Faculty Council or the Student Senate. In any case where the Council and the Senate do not agree, a conference procedure will be established.

The Trustees further request the President of the University to initiate a joint faculty-student study to delineate the area of governance in which this procedure is applicable. Meanwhile, the Faculty Council and the Student Senate should proceed to consider proposals on an ad hoc basis.

The President observed that Resolution II might indeed be open to further development. He added in this light that he had requested that the Student Affairs Committee "delineate the area of governance in which this procedure is applicable" as requested in the final paragraph of the resolution.

### III. Resolution on the Elimination of Discrimination at Indiana University.

#### RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, it is and has been the policy of Indiana University over a period of years to adopt and support measures designed to prevent discrimination against any individual student, faculty member, or employee of Indiana University on the sole basis of his race, creed, or national origin,

AND, WHEREAS, it is also the policy of our country and of our State to eliminate such discrimination in our society;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Trustees of Indiana University, that the University Administration be directed to take such steps as may be necessary and desirable to accelerate the final elimination of such vestiges of discrimination as may still exist, based on race, creed, or national origin, from all phases of University life including official employment and other personnel policies and participation in campus activities and organizations.



BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Administration is directed to report from time to time to the Board of Trustees on progress achieved and any problems remaining in this area.

In addition to reporting to the Board of Trustees on the progress achieved and the problems remaining in the area of discrimination, the President said that he would also like to periodically report on these matters to the Faculty Council.

The President opened the floor to discussion, but the Council followed his suggestion that it might wish to contemplate the resolutions for a time before bringing them into full discussion.

RESOLUTION FROM THE STUDENT SENATE REGARDING  
WOMEN'S REGULATIONS

The Secretary of the Council had received from the Student Senate a document entitled, "A Bill Calling for the Abolition of All Women's Regulations" (see attachment), passed by the Senate, according to an accompanying letter, on October 5, 1967. Copies of the document were distributed to members of the Council. The Agenda Committee recommended to the Council that the resolution in the document be referred to the Student Affairs Committee. The floor was then opened to discussion.

In opening the discussion, the Secretary pointed out that the letter accompanying the document requested that the resolution not be referred to the Student Affairs Committee. In view of the sweep of the resolution, however, the Agenda Committee saw no reasonable alternative to referring it to the Student Affairs Committee for detailed study and recommendations. A question was then raised about whether the Student Affairs Committee was a standing committee of the Council. President Stahr affirmed that it was a standing committee and added that it was created by the Council in 1966 at the recommendation of Dean Shaffer, conferring with David Frick, then President of the Student Body. The purpose of the committee is to provide a continuing bridge between students and faculty regarding matters of joint student and faculty concern. It was on the basis of the work of this committee, he noted, that the "non-registration" policy had been adopted by the Council last year.



Professor Shiner queried about how authoritative is the standing of the "Student Bill of Rights". In response, Dean Rogers indicated that the Dean of Students had volunteered to try to operate his office within the limits of this Bill for a trial period of one year. Professor Pratt added that the Bill is under examination by the Student Affairs Committee, but that that the Committee had not yet finished its scrutiny of the Bill.

In additional discussion, Professor Wolff inquired about the method by which students are appointed to the Student Affairs Committee. According to the present interpretation of the original motion creating the Committee, the President of the Student Body each year recommends six student members to the Student Senate, which must confirm them by a two-thirds majority vote. Professor Byrnes wished to know how many of the students currently on the committee were graduate students and how many undergraduates. No definitive answer was available to this question since the President of the Student Body and the Student Senate appear to have been unable to come to full agreement on who the student members of the Committee are to be for this year.

Professor Shiner moved that:

THE COUNCIL ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE AGENDA COMMITTEE AND THAT THE DOCUMENT, "A BILL CALLING FOR THE ABOLITION OF ALL WOMEN'S REGULATIONS," BE REFERRED TO THE STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE.

Dean Pinnell seconded the motion.

The President called for the question, and the motion was passed unanimously.

#### REQUEST FROM THE SCHOOL OF HEALTH, PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION TO USE PLUS AND MINUS GRADES

In introducing the request, Dean Endwright pointed out that faculty members in the School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation had for a number of years used plus and minus grades in their graduate courses. Grades with plus and minus symbols are kept in the files of the school, but the plus and minus symbols do not appear on the official transcript. The faculty of the School are in agreement that the use of pluses and minuses is beneficial to them; Dean Endwright therefore moved that:



THE GRADUATE FACULTY OF THE SCHOOL OF HEALTH, PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION BE PERMITTED TO USE PLUS AND MINUS SYMBOLS ON THE GRADES GIVEN IN THE GRADUATE COURSES OFFERED BY THE SCHOOL.

Professor Ludlow seconded the motion.

Following a brief discussion during which Professor R. C. Turner inquired about the capability of the computer to assign plus and minus symbols to the grade slips, which the computer is now programmed to do, President Stahr called for the question. The motion was passed almost unanimously, Professor R. C. Turner preferring to abstain.

#### REPORT OF THE AGENDA COMMITTEE ON THE MAILING LIST FOR THE APPROVED MINUTES OF THE FACULTY COUNCIL

Responding to the request of the Council in the October 3, 1967 meeting, the Agenda Committee reported on two matters: 1) the official mailing list for the approved Minutes and 2) whether the President of the Student Body had received the approved Minutes in years prior to this one. With respect to the first point, the Chairman of the Agenda Committee reported that the following groups officially received the approved minutes: certain administrative and supervisory personnel; deans and chairmen of departments; and regular faculty and lecturers, excluding librarians, teaching associates and teaching assistants. With respect to the second point, the findings of the Agenda Committee were that the President of the Student Body had begun to receive the approved Minutes during the spring of 1966, at which time the formation of the Student Affairs Committee was under consideration. Although there is neither discussion nor a motion pertaining to sending the Minutes to the President of the Student Body in the Faculty Council Minutes during this period, it appears to be the case that the Secretary of the Council and the President of the Student Body reached some sort of informal agreement on the sending of the Minutes. Since each of the parties in question have left the University, the exact basis of this agreement remains unknown; it seems probable, however, that the President of the Student Body felt he needed the Minutes in order to inform the Student Senate and the student representatives to the Student Affairs Committee about those actions of the Council which had a direct bearing on student affairs.

In the ensuing discussion, Professor Shiner asked whether the President of the Student Body could be invited by the Agenda Committee to attend a meeting of the Faculty



Council if the occasion so demanded. There was general agreement that by precedent and tradition the Agenda Committee could invite to the Council meeting anyone whose presence at the meeting would facilitate conducting the business on the agenda. With this agreement, the matter was closed, and the President turned to the next item on the agenda.

#### REPORT OF THE SECTION COMMITTEE ON PHYSICAL PLANT AND TRAFFIC

(See Faculty Council Document No. 47, 1966-67, attached to Minutes of the Faculty Council June 6, 1967)

Professor Fay, Chairman of the Committee, opened his remarks by observing that the Report was based on Volumes I and II of Growth and Change. (Volume II, faculty members may recall, is a special report on the physical plant and traffic by the "Schaap Committee.") He continued by calling attention to the statement of the philosophy concerning campus development on page 1 of the Report. This statement serves to integrate viewpoints and materials which up to this time appeared only in fragmentary form. Attention was also called to the report to the Council on January 10, 1967, expanding the functions of the former Space Committee and to the fact that the Committee had been re-named the Campus Planning Committee (See page 3 of the Report). The establishment of the Campus Planning Committee is of particular significance because it provides a continuing body of faculty members, as well as administrators, whose function is not only to attend to current problems involving future building sites, new construction, remodeling, classroom space, student traffic, and the like, but also to insure that the recommendations in the Report on Physical Plant and Traffic receive continued attention. In this light, Professor Fay noted that his Committee was recommending referral of many of the points in the Report to the Campus Planning Committee. He then called attention of Council members to certain highlights in the Report, and emphasized that faculty members not only need to be aware of campus planning, but that they must be willing to participate in it.

The President thanked Professor Fay for his report and opened the floor for discussion.

Dean Sutton raised the tangentially related point that the Campus Planning Committee would like to appoint a sub-committee to deal with matters involving minor repairs to facilities, and wished clarification from the Council concerning whether this Committee could delegate these matters



to a sub-committee. As matters now stand, the Campus Planning Committee finds that attention to the details involving relatively minor repairs interferes with its work on items of wider scope.

Professor Shiner asked for information about the composition of the Campus Planning Committee, to whom the Committee reports and a bit more detail on the work of the Committee. Dean Sutton responded that the Committee has two elected members from the Faculty, and four members from the administrative staff, including himself as Chairman. It is the responsibility of the elected members to report to the Faculty Council under their own initiative or at the request of the Agenda Committee; at least one report per year is expected. Dean Sutton reports to the Administrative Committee.

Following additional discussion by Dean Sutton, Professor David Martin and President Stahr, on the scope of the work of the Committee, it was taken by general agreement that the Campus Planning Committee should be permitted to appoint a sub-committee to deal with the question of minor repairs and such other related matters as the Committee saw fit.

Turning to the question of whether or not the Council wished to accept the Report of the Section Committee on Physical Plant and Traffic, President Stahr noted that on page 12 of the Report a point is referred to the "Dean of the Division of University Extension..." which, because of the subsequent separation of the Division of University Extension and the Division of Regional Campuses, should have read, "The Dean of the Division of Regional Campuses..." The change was taken by consent.

Professor Shiner moved that:

THE COUNCIL ACCEPT THE REPORT OF THE SECTION COMMITTEE  
ON PHYSICAL PLANT AND TRAFFIC.

Professor Pratt seconded the motion.

In the ensuing discussion Professor Byrnes observed that while the University takes great care in designing, positioning and landscaping its buildings, the City areas through which the campus is approached fail to yield equivalent aesthetic satisfaction. He wondered whether efforts had been made to encourage the City to give more careful attention to such matters. Professor Fay responded that his committee had not discussed the relationship between the campus and the



City, and that the area in question seemed to be one in which faculty members need to act as Citizens of the community. Dean Sutton pointed out that one faculty member who was also a member of the City Council had been placed under some duress by the City Council and a local newspaper for his suggestions concerning city planning and beautification. The faculty member noted that he had received little support from faculty members who might have taken the opportunity to visit the City Council meetings and to make their viewpoints known. Professor Martin agreed with the importance of Professor Byrnes' point and added that the Campus Planning Committee had worked with City officials and the State Highway Department in improving the areas around the campus, especially in the area of traffic flow.

Following brief additional discussion President Stahr called for the question, and Professor Shiner's motion carried unanimously.

The next item on the agenda was the Report of the Section Committee on Teaching. The lateness of the hour suggested, however, that full discussion of this report would not be possible. The Secretary therefore requested that the President delay the report until the next meeting. Professor Wolff, who was to present the report, agreed to delay it until next time.

#### SECRETARY'S BUSINESS

1. The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Faculty Council would be October 31, the fifth Tuesday of the month. According to the traditions of the Council, meetings on a fifth Tuesday may be set aside for informal discussion. The Agenda Committee therefore requested that the Council decide whether it wished to meet in informal or in regular session.

In the brief discussion which followed, Professor Buehrig suggested that although his committee was by no means ready to make a report, he thought that a discussion of the issues involved in communication between Faculty Council and other groups, the role of the Faculty Council, and issues surrounding the Faculty Constitution would be of very substantial help to the deliberations of his committee. There was general agreement that these and related topics might form the basis of discussion, although, by the very nature of the meeting proposed, discussion would not need to be limited to these particular topics.



Professor Buehrig moved that:

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, AT 3:30 BE THE OCCASION FOR  
INFORMAL DISCUSSION BY THE FACULTY COUNCIL.

Professor Byrnes seconded the motion.

President Stahr called for the question; the motion  
carried unanimously.

2. In the previous meeting of the Council, a tie vote  
occurred in the election of the members of the Advisory  
Committee to the Dean of Faculties. To break the tie, the  
Nominations Committee conducted a run-off vote.

The faculty members elected to the Advisory Committee  
are:

William B. Edgerton  
Jerome W. Milliman  
James T. Patterson

The meeting adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Turner, Secretary

RLT:gl



ABSTRACT OF THE MINUTES OF THE FACULTY COUNCIL

OCTOBER 17, 1967

(Experimental trial, not for distribution outside the Faculty Council)

Memorial Resolutions for Professor Oliver W. Brown and for Professor Harry deVeltheym Velten were read.

Three resolutions from the Board of Trustees were presented by President Stahr. The first of these resolutions is similar to the "non-registration" policy, pertaining to student groups, passed by the Council last year. The second bears on student conduct and discipline, and, in essence, requests that both the Student Senate and the Faculty Council concur on regulations in this area. The third calls for continued efforts to eliminate all discrimination, on the grounds of race, country of origin, or creed, at Indiana University.

A document from the Student Senate calling for the abolition of all women's regulations was examined by the Council and referred to the Student Affairs Committee as requested by the Agenda Committee.

The School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation was granted permission to use plus and minus symbols on the grades given in graduate courses.

The Agenda Committee reported that the regular mailing list for the approved Minutes of the Council was comprised only of administrative and supervisory personnel, deans, departmental chairmen, regular faculty and lecturers. Until the meeting of October 3, the Board of Trustees and the President of the Student Body did not officially receive the Minutes.

The report of the Section Committee on Physical Plant and Traffic was presented by Professor Fay. The report was accepted by the Council. The acceptance of this report firmly establishes the Campus Planning Committee as the continuing committee to implement the suggestions on physical plant and traffic in Vols. I and II of Growth and Change. In a separate action, the Council granted permission to the Campus Planning Committee to form a sub-committee to deal with problems related to minor repairs on campus facilities.



The Council voted to meet in informal session on Tuesday, October 31, which, being a fifth Tuesday, may, by tradition, be set aside for such meetings.

Three members were elected to the Advisory Committee to the Dean of Faculties. They are:

William B. Edgerton  
Jerome W. Milliman  
James T. Patterson

Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Turner, Secretary

RLT/gl